UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

Regina C. Filannino-Restifo, on Behalf of Herself and All Others Similarly Situated,

Plaintiff,

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

-against-

TD Bank, N.A.,

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Defendant.

Plaintiff, Regina C. Filannino-Restifo, by and through her undersigned attorneys, brings this action on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated, on personal knowledge as to herself and her activities, and on information and belief as to all other matters, against Defendant, TD Bank, N.A. ("TD Bank" or "Defendant"), and alleges as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

- This is a consumer class action on behalf of all deposit customers of TD Bank who have been harmed through the continued shortchanging of TD Bank's Penny Arcade machines.
- 2. On April 6, 2016, NBC's "Today" show reported that TD Bank's Penny Arcades fail to accurately count the coins placed in them. The "Today" reporter tested TD Bank's Penny Arcade machines by placing \$300 worth of coins in Penny Arcades at five different, random TD Bank locations. Each of these tests resulted in the Penny Arcade counting less than \$300, with one machine counting only a total of \$256.90 for the \$300 worth of coins. The loss of \$43 represents a loss of almost 15% of the actual coin value placed in the machine.

- 3. Upon information and belief, TD Bank's Penny Arcade machines have continuously undercounted coins placed in them by consumers for years and resulted in the loss of millions of dollars for consumers.
- 4. Defendant's continued shortchanging has deceived and harmed consumers. Consumers relied on Defendant's representation that the Penny Arcades would accurately count the coins placed inside the machine and therefore placed their coins in Penny Arcades expecting a fair and accurate tallying of the coin value. Instead, Defendant deceptively short-changed consumers of their funds, and as a consequence, Plaintiff and all other members of the Class (as defined below) have a private right of action against TD Bank for damages.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 5. This Court has original jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2). The aggregate claims of Plaintiff and the proposed Class members exceed \$5,000,000, exclusive of interest and costs, and there is diversity of citizenship between at least one member of the proposed Class and Defendant.
- 6. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because Defendant maintains its principle place of business in Cherry Hill, New Jersey.
- 7. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because many of the acts and transactions giving rise to this action occurred in this District and because Defendant maintains its principle place of business within the District.

PARTIES

Plaintiff

8. Plaintiff Regina C. Filannino-Restifo is an individual residing in New York State. During the relevant period, Plaintiff continually used Defendant's Penny Arcade machines and as a result of the deceptive conduct, suffered injury in fact and lost money. Plaintiff is a customer of Defendant and maintains an account at Defendant's Jefferson Valley, New York branch location.

Defendant

9. Defendant TD Bank, N.A. is a national association, federally chartered pursuant to the National Bank Act, 12 U.S.C. § 38, *et seq.*, with its principal place of business in Cherry Hill, New Jersey. Defendant maintains a branch location in Jefferson Valley, New York frequented by Plaintiff.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

Background

- 10. Defendant describes itself as "America's Most Convenient Bank" and has built its brand around convenience. Integral to its brand are its Penny Arcades, which Defendant describes as "one of the bank's most unique features and delivers the convenience for which TD Bank is so well known."
- 11. The Penny Arcades are coin-counting machines available to customers (TD Bank accountholders) and non-customers within physical TD Bank branches. In terms of function, the Penny Arcades can count up to 3,500 coins per minute and can count any U.S. coin except for silver dollars.

- 12. To use the Penny Arcade, the consumer places coins in a tray in the Penny Arcade which allegedly sorts the coins and provides the customer with the total value of the coins. The total value is printed on a receipt for the consumer to take to the bank's teller to receive the amount printed on the receipt in either cash or deposit.
- 13. If the consumer is a bank customer, the coin counting service is free and considered an "exclusive Customer Benefit." If the consumer is not a TD Bank customer, the consumer is charged an 8% usage fee for using the Penny Arcade to count the coins.
- 14. In order to avoid the 8% usage fee, many consumers open accounts with TD Bank to take advantage of the customer benefit. Indeed, Plaintiff utilizes the Jefferson Valley, New York branch of TD Bank for this exact benefit. Plaintiff owns a number of coin-operated washing and drying machines and uses the Penny Arcade to count the coins from these machines on a regular basis.
- 15. The Penny Arcades are such a large feature of the bank that the bank touted it had counted more than 29 billion coins in Penny Arcades in 2012.
- 16. With the large volume of use, Defendant even states that the Penny Arcades are "tested at least 3 times a day to make sure it's accurate." Despite these daily tests, the wide-scale misconduct was able to proliferate.

The Truth Emerges

17. On April 6, 2016, NBC's "Today" show reported that TD Bank's Penny Arcades fail to accurately count the coins placed in the machines. "Today" reported the failure in a 5-minute segment detailing Defendant's shortchanging of customers.

- 18. The reporter conducted tests at five TD Bank locations in Manhattan by placing \$300 worth of coins in each branch's Penny Arcade. Each of these five tests resulted in a counted total value less than \$300, with one machine counting only a total of \$256.90 for the \$300 worth of coins. The loss of the \$43 represents a loss of almost 15% of the actual coins placed in the Penny Arcade.
 - 19. This test was also conducted at competitor services without similar issues.
- 20. In response to the investigation, TD Bank has ceased the use of its Penny Arcades, but consumers that have used the machines remain damaged.

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

21. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of herself and members of a Class broken into two sub-classes defined as:

New York Subclass

All persons or entities who used TD Bank's Penny Arcade coin-counting services in New York State as a deposit customer of TD Bank within sixyears of the filing of this Complaint.

Nationwide Subclass

All persons or entities who used TD Bank's Penny Arcade coin-counting services as a deposit customer of TD Bank within six-years of the filing of this Complaint.

- 22. This action has been brought and may properly be maintained on behalf of the New York and Nationwide Subclasses proposed above under the criteria of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23 ("Rule 23"), insofar as the New York and Nationwide Subclasses meet all the requirements of Rule 23:
- a. *Numerosity*: The members of the New York and Nationwide Subclasses are so numerous that their individual joinder is impracticable. Plaintiff is informed and believes that the proposed New York and Nationwide Subclasses contain

5

thousands of customers who have been damaged by Defendant's conduct as alleged herein. The precise number of New York and Nationwide Subclass members is unknown to Plaintiff. The true number of New York and Nationwide Subclass members is known by Defendant, however, and thus potential class members may be notified of the pendency of this action by first class mail, electronic mail, and/or published notice.

- b. Existence and Predominance of Common Questions of Law and Fact: This action involves common questions of law and fact, which predominate over any questions affecting individual New York and Nationwide Subclass members.

 Common questions of law and fact include, but are not limited to, the following:
 - i. Whether Defendant's Penny Arcades inaccurately provided coin-counting services;
 - ii. Whether Defendant had a duty to ensure that the Penny Arcades were accurately counting coins;
 - iii. Whether Defendant's use of Penny Arcades, which inaccurately counted coins, was a deceptive trade practice;
 - iv. Whether the alleged conduct constitutes unjust enrichment; and
 - v. Whether Plaintiff and New York and Nationwide Subclass members were damaged as a result of Defendant's deceptive practices, the amount of their monetary loss, and the proper measure of those damages.
- c. *Typicality*: Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the other members of the New York and Nationwide Subclasses because, *inter alia*, all New York and Nationwide Subclass members were injured through the uniform misconduct

described above. Plaintiff is advancing the same claims and legal theories on behalf of herself and all members of the New York and Nationwide Subclasses.

- d. Adequacy of Representation: Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the members of the New York and Nationwide Subclasses. Plaintiff has retained counsel experienced in complex consumer class action litigation, and Plaintiff intends to prosecute this action vigorously. Plaintiff has no adverse or antagonistic interests to those of the New York and Nationwide Subclasses.
- e. Superiority: A class action is superior to all other available means for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy. The damages or other financial detriment suffered by individual subclass members is relatively small compared to the burden and expense that would be entailed by individual litigation of their claims against Defendant. It would thus be virtually impossible for the New York and Nationwide Subclasses, on an individual basis, to obtain effective redress for the wrongs done to them by Defendant. Furthermore, individualized litigation would create the danger of inconsistent or contradictory judgments arising from the same set of facts. By contrast, the class action device provides the benefits of adjudication of these issues in a single proceeding and presents no unusual management difficulties under the circumstances here.
- 23. Unless the New York and Nationwide Subclasses is certified, Defendant will retain monies received as a result of its misconduct that were taken from Plaintiff and New York and Nationwide Subclass members.

NOTICE TO N.J. ATTORNEY GENERAL OF ACTION

24. Pursuant to N.J. Stat. Ann. §56:8-20, a copy of this Complaint will be mailed to the Attorney General within ten days of the filing of the Complaint.

COUNT I

Violation of New York General Business Law § 349 (On behalf of the New York Subclass)

- 25. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 23 above, as if set forth fully herein.
- 26. New York General Business Law ("GBL") § 349 prohibits "deceptive acts or practices in the conduct of any business, trade or commerce or in the furnishing of any service" in New York State.
- 27. Defendant conducted a business within the meaning of GBL § 349 by operating its branch locations within New York State.
- 28. In the conduct of its business, Defendant engaged in deceptive acts and practices by offering the Penny Arcade as an accurate, coin-counting service, while the Penny Arcade did not provide this service. Defendant misled customers about the accuracy of the Penny Arcade's service and, upon information and belief, concealed the material inaccuracy of its service.
- 29. Defendant's deceptive acts alleged above, had a tendency or capacity to mislead, tended to create a false impression in consumers, and were likely to (and did in fact) deceive reasonable consumers, including Plaintiff and the other members of the Class.
- 30. Plaintiff and the other members of the New York Subclass suffered ascertainable losses, caused by Defendant's conduct, by being shortchanged by the

Defendant's Penny Arcade machines. Thus, Plaintiff and the other members of the New York Subclass were injured by Defendant's conduct.

- 31. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's violations of GBL § 349, Plaintiff and the other members of the New York Subclass have suffered injury-in-fact or actual damage.
- 32. Because Defendant's willful and knowing conduct caused injury to Plaintiff and the other members of the New York Subclass, they seek recovery of their actual damages or \$50 for each claim, whichever is greater, discretionary treble damages up to \$1,000 for each claim, punitive damages, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs, and any other just and proper relief available under GBL § 349.

COUNT II

Violation of New Jersey's Consumer Fraud Act § 56-8:1 et seq. (On behalf of the Nationwide Subclass)

- 33. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 24 above, as if set forth fully herein.
 - 34. New Jersey's Consumer Fraud Act ("CFA") prohibits:

The act, use or employment by any person of any unconscionable commercial practice, deception, fraud, false pretense, false promise, misrepresentation, or the knowing, concealment, suppression, or omission of any material fact with intent that others rely upon such concealment, suppression or omission, in connection with the sale or advertisement of any merchandise or real estate, or with the subsequent performance of such person as aforesaid, whether or not any person has in fact been misled, deceived or damaged thereby. . .

N.J.S.A. § 56-8:2.

35. Defendant's principal place of business is New Jersey and is a person under the meaning of the CFA.

- 36. In the conduct of its business, Defendant engaged in deceptive acts and practices by offering the Penny Arcade as an accurate, coin-counting service, while the Penny Arcade did not provide this service. Defendant misled customers about the accuracy of the Penny Arcade's service and, upon information and belief, concealed the material inaccuracy of its service.
- 37. Defendant's deceptive acts alleged above, had a tendency or capacity to mislead, tended to create a false impression in consumers, and were likely to (and did in fact) deceive reasonable consumers, including Plaintiff and the other members of the Nationwide Subclass.
- 38. Plaintiff and the other members of the Nationwide Subclass suffered ascertainable losses, caused by Defendant's conduct, by being shortchanged by the Defendant's Penny Arcade machines. Thus, Plaintiff and the other members of the Nationwide Subclass were injured by Defendant's conduct.
- 39. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's violations of the CFA, Plaintiff and the other members of the Nationwide Subclass have suffered injury-in-fact or actual damage.
- 40. Because Defendant's conduct caused injury to Plaintiff and the other members of the Nationwide Subclass, they seek recovery of their actual damages, discretionary treble damages, punitive damages, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs, and any other just and proper relief available under the CFA.

COUNT III

Unjust Enrichment

(On behalf of the New York and Nationwide Subclasses)

- 41. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations contained above, as if set forth fully herein.
 - 42. Plaintiff brings this claim individually and on behalf of the Class.
- 43. As a result of Defendant's deceptive conduct, Defendant was enriched at the expense of Plaintiff and all other Class members through their use of the Penny Arcades. Plaintiff and the Class conferred benefit to Defendant by receiving less in cash and or a deposit than the amount of coins put into the Penny Arcade.
- 44. TD Bank knowingly and willingly retained the undisclosed differences from consumers.
- 45. Under the circumstances, it would be against equity and good conscience to permit Defendant to retain the ill-gotten benefits it received from Plaintiff and the Class as the result of its deceptive conduct. Thus, it would be unjust or inequitable for Defendant to retain the benefit without restitution to Plaintiff and the Class.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment:

- A. Certifying the New York and Nationwide Subclasses as requested herein;
- B. Appointing Plaintiff as Class representative and her undersigned counsel as Class counsel;
- C. Awarding Plaintiff and the proposed New York and Nationwide Subclass members damages;

- D. Awarding restitution and disgorgement of Defendant's revenues to Plaintiff and the proposed New York and Nationwide Subclass members;
 - E. Awarding attorneys' fees and costs; and
 - F. Providing such further relief as may be just and proper.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff hereby demands a trial of her claims by jury to the extent authorized by law.

Dated: April 27, 2016 DeNITTIS OSEFCHEN, P.C.

/s/ Stephen P. DeNittis_

Stephen P. DeNittis (SD-0016) 525 Route 73 North, Suite 410 Marlton, New Jersey 08053

Tel: (856) 797-9951 Fax: (856) 797-9978 sdenittis@denittislaw.com

and

WOLF HALDENSTEIN ADLER FREEMAN & HERZ LLP

/s/ Jeffrey Smith

Jeffrey Smith (pro hac vice pending) Kevin G. Cooper (KC3244) 270 Madison Avenue New York, New York 10016

Tel: (212) 545-4600 Fax: (212) 545-4653 smith@whafh.com kcooper@whafh.com

$_{ m JS~44~(Rev.~1/16)}$ Case 1:16-cv-02374-JBS-JS Pocument 1 Filed 04/27/16 Page 13 of 13 PageID: 13

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose or minuting the errir a	oener sneeti (shi native e	110110 011 11211 11102 0		-11.11.)						
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS						
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, Email and Telephone Number)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED. Attorneys (If Known)						
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	ICTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)	III. CI	 TIZENSHIP OF PI	RINCIPA	L PARTIES	(Place an "X" in	One Box f	or Plaintiff	
☐ 1 U.S. Government ☐ 3 Federal Question				(For Diversity Cases Only)	TF DEF		and One Box f	or Defenda PTF	ant) DEF	
Plaintiff			Citiz						□ 4	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		Citiz	Citizen of Another State						
				en or Subject of a reign Country	3 🗖 3	Foreign Nation		□ 6	□ 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		orts	F	ORFEITURE/PENALTY	BAN	KRUPTCY	OTHER	STATUT	ES	
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	PERSONAL INJURY □ 310 Airplane □ 315 Airplane Product Liability □ 320 Assault, Libel &	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 785 Property Damage Product Liability	BY □ 62 □ 69	25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 90 Other	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g))		□ 375 False Claims Act □ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC			
Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise	□ 340 Marine □ 345 Marine Product Liability □ 350 Motor Vehicle □ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability □ 360 Other Personal Injury □ 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice		□ 72 □ 74 □ 75	LABOR 10 Fair Labor Standards Act 20 Labor/Management Relations 40 Railway Labor Act 51 Family and Medical Leave Act 20 Other Labor Litigation						
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIO		1 Employee Retirement		AL TAX SUITS	Act			
 □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property 	□ 440 Other Civil Rights □ 441 Voting □ 442 Employment □ 443 Housing/ Accommodations □ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment □ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other □ 448 Education	Habeas Corpus: □ 463 Alien Detainee □ 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence □ 530 General □ 535 Death Penalty Other: □ 540 Mandamus & Oth □ 550 Civil Rights □ 555 Prison Condition □ 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	□ 4¢	Income Security Act IMMIGRATION 32 Naturalization Application 55 Other Immigration Actions	or D □ 871 IRS–	s (U.S. Plaintiff efendant) —Third Party ISC 7609	 896 Arbitration 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes 			
	moved from	Appellate Court		pened Another (specify)	r District	☐ 6 Multidistr Litigation				
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO			re filing (I	Do not cite jurisdictional state	utes unless di	versity):				
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.			N D	OEMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: OVER \$5 million JURY DEMAND:						
VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY (See instructions): JUDGE				DOCKET NUMBER						
DATE	SIGNATURE OF ATTO			OF RECORD						
EOD OFFICE TIOE ON N										
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	4OLD TE	4 ppv 42222				~ ==	OCE.			
RECEIPT # AN	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUI	JGE			